```
1
    CHRISTOPHER T. HEFFELFINGER (118058)
    BERMAN DEVALERIO PEASE TABACCO BURT & PUCILLO
    425 California Street, Suite 2100
    San Francisco, California 94104
 3
    Telephone: (415) 433-3200
    Facsimile: (415) 433-6382
 4
    cheffelfinger@bermanesq.com
 5
    C. OLIVER BURT, III (Pro Hac Vice)
    MANUEL J. DOMINGUEZ (Pro Hac Vice)
 6
    BERMAN DEVALERIO PEASE TABACCO BURT & PUCILLO
    222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 900
 7
    West Palm Beach, FL 33401
    Telephone: (561) 835-9400
 8
    Facsimile: (561) 835-0322
    jdominguez@bermanesq.com
 9
    cburt@bermanesq.com
10
    (Additional Counsel on signature page)
11
    Attorneys for Plaintiffs
12
    PATRICK J. CAROME (Pro Hac Vice)
    SAMIR C. JAIN (No. 181572)
13
    WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
    HALE AND DORR LLP
14
    1875 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
    Washington, D.C. 20006-3642
15
    Telephone: (202) 663-6000
    Facsimile: (202) 663-6363
16
    patrick.carome@wilmerhale.com
    samir.jain@wilmerhale.com
17
    (Additional Counsel on signature page)
18
    Attorneys for AOL LLC
19
                             UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
20
                           NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
21
    DOE 1, DOE 2, and KASADORE
                                             CASE NO. C-06-5866 SBA
22
    RAMKISSOON, on Behalf of
    Themselves and all other Persons
23
    Similarly Situated,
                                             STIPULATION AND ORDER
                                             CONCERNING REQUEST OF
24
                       Plaintiffs,
                                             PLAINTIFFS DOE 1 AND DOE 2 TO
                                             PROSECUTE CASE ANONYMOUSLY
25
                  v.
26
    AOL LLC,
27
                       Defendant.
28
    [C-06-5886 SBA] STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONCERNING REQUEST OF
    PLAINTIFFS DOE 1 AND DOE 2 TO PROSECUTE CASE ANONYMOUSLY
```

WHEREAS Plaintiffs filed on September 22, 2006, a Class Action Complaint and Notice of Motion, Motion, and Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support of Motion by Doe 1 and Doe 2 to Prosecute Case Anonymously and Request to File Declarations Under Seal ("Motion to Prosecute Case Anonymously" and "Request to File Declarations Under Seal," respectively);

WHEREAS the Motion to Prosecute Case Anonymously and Request to File Declarations
Under Seal were served on AOL LLC ("AOL") on September 29, 2006, along with the Class Action
Complaint;

WHEREAS, on October 18, 2006, the parties filed a Stipulation Extending Time to Respond to Complaint until November 20, 2006;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs Doe 1 and Doe 2 stated in their Motion to Prosecute Case

Anonymously that once the Court assigned the case, they would re-notice the Motion and would
serve on AOL an amended notice of motion, together with supporting declarations of Doe 1 and Doe
2;

WHEREAS the parties have met and conferred regarding the Motion to Prosecute Case

Anonymously and Request to File Declarations Under Seal pursuant to Judge Armstrong's Standing

Orders;

WHEREAS Plaintiffs Doe 1 and Doe 2 asserted in their Motion to Prosecute Case Anonymously that the legal standard for proceeding under pseudonyms has been satisfied;

WHEREAS Defendant AOL does not agree or concede that such legal standard has been satisfied, but is nonetheless willing to have Doe 1 and Doe 2 proceed anonymously, subject to the procedures and conditions spelled out in this Stipulation;

WHEREAS Defendant AOL has reviewed redacted versions of the Declarations that Plaintiffs Doe 1 and Doe 2 propose to file under seal, from which only the names and city or county of residence of Doe 1 and Doe 2 have been redacted, and AOL does not oppose the filing under seal of the unredacted originals of these Declarations;

WHEREAS AOL does not object to Doe 1 and Doe 2 being initially permitted to prosecute the case anonymously, so long as the terms and conditions of this Stipulation are honored and, in [C-06-5886 SBA] STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONCERNING REQUEST OF

PLAINTIFFS DOE 1 AND DOE 2 TO PROSECUTE CASE ANONYMOUSLY

reliance on the understanding that AOL's non-objection shall not be deemed to constitute or reflect any admission or concession of any sort on the part of AOL;

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED by Plaintiffs and AOL, through their respective attorneys of record, and subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

- 1. Plaintiffs Doe 1 and Doe 2 shall be permitted to prosecute the pretrial phases of this case using pseudonyms and without disclosing their identities on the public record, subject to the terms and conditions specified in the following paragraphs of this Stipulation.
- 2. Within five (5) days after the Court approves and "so orders" this Stipulation, Plaintiffs

 Doe 1 and Doe 2 will file with the Court, under seal, original sworn declarations

 ("Declarations") in which each of them will state their true names and current city or

 county of residence.
- 3. Simultaneously with the filing under seal of the original Declarations, Plaintiffs Doe 1 and Doe 2 will file with the Court, on the public record, redacted versions of each Declaration from which only the true names and city or county of residence of Doe 1 and Doe 2 have been redacted.
- 4. On the same day that the Declarations are filed with the Court, Plaintiffs will serve on AOL, via the undersigned designated counsel, complete copies of the original, unredacted Declarations that were filed under seal.
- 5. Except as may be otherwise provided for in a further confidentiality agreement and/or Order of confidentiality approved and entered in this case, AOL and its counsel shall be obligated to hold in confidence, and not disclose either the unredacted Declarations or the fact that the persons named therein are Plaintiffs in this action to anyone, other than court personnel or a court reporter involved in proceedings in this case, who is not (a) employed by either AOL or its counsel of record in this case or (b) a third party that is related to this litigation. Third parties *related* to this litigation are experts, consultants, investigators or anyone hired by AOL or its counsel to defend this matter who either have executed confidentiality agreements or who are subject to an order of confidentiality

entered in this case. The obligations of AOL and its counsel under this paragraph shall terminate in the event that the identities of Doe 1 and Doe 2 become publicly known through any means other than a disclosure by AOL or its counsel.

- 6. If at any time AOL believes that it is being prejudiced by the fact that either Doe 1 or Doe 2 has been permitted to prosecute this case under a pseudonym, AOL may a request that the sealed Declarations be unsealed and that the Does' permission to continue prosecuting this action using pseudonyms be vacated. In the event that any Plaintiff opposes such a request or motion, the Court shall make a *de novo* determination of whether either of the Does may continue to prosecute the action without publicly disclosing their true identities, with the burden of establishing a need and basis for continuing anonymity, sufficient to satisfy the normal standards for allowing a litigant to proceed anonymously, resting on the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs advocating continued anonymity.
- 7. It is expressly understood and agreed that neither AOL's entry into this Stipulation, nor AOL's acquiescence in the Does' request to prosecute this case initially using pseudonyms rather than their true identities, shall in anyway:
 - a. be deemed or construed to constitute or reflect a concession or admission on the part of AOL regarding any factual, procedural, or legal issue that is or may become pertinent to this litigation, including but not limited to whether any of the allegations in the Complaint is true, whether any of Plaintiffs' claims has merit, and whether any Plaintiff or other person has suffered or may in the future suffer any damage or injury;
 - b. be deemed or construed to waive or impair in anyway any argument or defense that that AOL may have regarding any factual, procedural, or legal issue that is or may become pertinent to this litigation, including but not limited to whether either Doe 1 or Doe 2 is an appropriate plaintiff in this lawsuit, whether either Doe 1 or Doe 2 may represent any class or sub-class in this lawsuit, and whether it is

[C-06-5886 SBA] STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONCERNING REQUEST OF

PLAINTIFFS DOE 1 AND DOE 2 TO PROSECUTE CASE ANONYMOUSLY

28

| 1 2 3 4 5 6 | JAMES K. GREEN, P.A. James K. Green 222 Lakeview Avenue, Suite 1650 West Palm Beach, FL 33401 Telephone: (561) 659-2029 Facsimile: (561) 655-1357 Attorneys for Plaintiffs E-Filing Attestatation |
|----------------------------|---|
| 7 | I, Christopher T. Heffelfinger, am the ECF User whose ID and password are being used to |
| 8 | file this document. In compliance with General Order 45 X.B., I hereby attest that the signatory identified below has concurred in this filing. |
| 9 | Dated: December 20, 2006 WILMER CUTLER PICKERING |
| 10 | HALE AND DORR LLP Patrick J. Carome |
| 11 | Samir C. Jain |
| | Mark D. Flanagan Nathan L. Walker |
| 12 | |
| 13 | By: /s/ Patrick J. Carome PATRICK J. CAROME |
| 14 | PATRICK J. CAROME |
| 15 | WILMER CUTLER PICKERING |
| 16 | HALE AND DORR LLP Mark D. Flanagan (No. 130303) |
| 17 | Nathan L. Walker (No. 206128) |
| | 1117 California Ave. Palo Alto, CA 94304 |
| 18 | Telephone: (650) 858-6000 |
| 19 | Facsimile: (650) 858-6100 mark.flanagan@wilmerhale.com |
| 20 | nathan.walker@wilmerhale.com |
| 21 | Attorneys for AOL LLC |
| 22 | |
| 23 | |
| 24 | |
| | |
| 25 | |
| 26 | |
| 27 | |

ORDER PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, AND GOOD CAUSE APPEARING THEREFOR, IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: _1/22/06 United States District Judge

[C-06-5886 SBA] STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER CONCERNING REQUEST OF PLAINTIFFS DOE 1 AND DOE 2 TO PROSECUTE CASE ANONYMOUSLY